

DEFECT EVALUATION FORM (DEF), REPORT No 00/00

A SECTIO	N			RELEASE OF ORIGINATING DOCUMENTS								
Delay:		Incident:		PIRE/ MAR	PIRE/ MAREP Reliability:		Component Reliabiltiy:		ECM/Oil di		ag:	A/C MFG ANALYSIS:
DATE OF ISSUE DEF:		BY:		ATA:	ATA:		А/С Туре:		REG-S/N:			Part number:
OTHER REPORT REF:												
REVISION:												
REASON FOR RELEASE OF REPORT:												
B SECTION HISTORY OF ANALYSIS DATA COLLECTION												
B1 A/C DAT	B1 A/C DATA											
A/C Reg.	Tech Log Nbr° Tech. L			.og ENTRY/Description				M			Reference°	
B2 FLEET DATA REFERENCES												
A/C Reg.	MA REP° ENTR		ENTRY	<u>Y</u>				DATE Alert L		evel Limitation		
B3 SYSTEM	/ COMF	ONEN	T DATA									
A/C Reg.	DATE	P/	N OFF	S/N OFF	P/N ON	S/N ON	TSI	CSI		SHOP REPORT		FLEET MTBUR
B4 A/C Man	ufacture	er DAT/	4									
ATA		A	ASN		COMPONEN		IT MTBU		JR		NOTE	
SECTION COMPLETED BY ON /								//				
C SECTION OEM INFO OUTSOURCE INVESTIGATION												
VENDOR PUBLICATION:												
A/C TC HOLDER PUBLICATION:												
OTHER OPERATORS / CAMOS:												

Date: ____/___/____



DEFECT EVALUATION FORM (DEF), REPORT No 00/00

D SECTION	TECHNICAL COMMENTS / EVALUATION ANALYSIS						
	SECTION COMPLETED BY	UN//					
E SECTION	TECHNICAL PROPOSAL CO CAMO PROPOSAL	RRECTIVE ACTION					
	SECTION COMPLETED BY	ON //					
F SECTION	CONTROL BC	DARD					
	REPORT CLOSED / COMPLETED BY	ON //					
REFERENCES ATTACHED:							

2. _____

3. _____

DISTRIBUTION LIST:

Date: ____/___/____



DEFECT EVALUATION FORM (DEF), REPORT No 00/00

Explanation:

The DEF is a tool to initiate surveys and if necessary corrective action at the following conditions:

- Over alert conditions with a common cause (Alert level has been exceeded in subsystems)
- Critical failures of systems and components
- Failures in ETOPS Systems or CAT II & III, B-RNAV, NAT-MNPS, RVSM
- Failures of dispatch required components or systems (no MEL relief)
- Components with low MTBUR or low MTBF
- Systems with high complaint rates
- Major Airworthiness irregularities (Incidents and delays reported via MCC Incident Report)
- Request from Flight Operations

When required corrective actions may affect procedures, technical and operational documentation and procedures, limits, changes to scope and frequency of maintenance processes and Maintenance Program, incorporation of vendor modifications, training, etc., this list is expandable to all suitable forms of improvements to solve conditions.

The DEF includes information gathered from Airframe's, Engine's, APU's and Component's manufacturers, as applicable, as well as other operators experience on the same subject, if available. The DEF has to include the following data.

Section A originated Documents (provided by CAMO Reliability Engineer)

- 1. Number of CAR/RDF
- 2. Reason for issue of the Report
- 3. ATA-Chapter of the handled problem
- 4. Aircraft description
- 5. Aircraft Registration Number
- 6. Date when the Report is opened
- 7. Date when the Report is closed

Section B (provided by the CAMO Reliability Engineer)

- Analysis data collection: Contains detailed history data, separated in:
- B1) PIREPS (Defect Reports provided by Flight crews),
- B2) MAREP (Defect Reports provided by Maintenance Personnel)
- B3) Component Data (MTBUR, MTBF Data experienced by the operator)
- B4) Manufacturer Component Data and a detailed description of the fault history

Section C (provided by the CAMO System Specialist)

Outsource Investigation: Contains Information about applicable Component- and or Airplane Manufacturer publications and or related experience of other operators.

Section D (provided by the CAMO System Specialist and Reliability Engineer)

Analysis Investigation: Contains a summary of all related evaluations. Technical comments, which should be taken into consideration, are also provided in this section. This section should provide a decision guideline for an engineering proposal for an adequate corrective action.

Section E (provided by the CAMO System Specialist)

CAMO proposal: Provides a detailed technical proposal in order to avoid the recurring of event and the corrective action scenario. This part of the CAR should be discussed during the RCM.

Section F (provided by the Reliability Engineer)

Final Decision: Reliability Control Board comments during the Reliability Control Meeting to the Corrective Action Plan provided in Section E.

Date: ____/___/____